

Non-Examination Assessment Policy

Contents

What does this policy affect?	2
Purpose of the policy	2
What are non-examination assessments?	2
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staf	f roles
and responsibilities	2
The basic principles	2
Task setting	3
Issuing of tasks	4
Task taking	4
Supervision	4
Advice and feedback	4
Resources	5
Word and time limits	5
Collaboration and group work	5
Authentication procedures	5
Presentation of work	6
Keeping materials secure	6
Task marking – externally assessed components	6
Conduct of externally assessed work	6
Submission of work	6
Task marking – internally assessed components	7
Marking and annotation	7
Internal standardisation	7
Consortium arrangements	8
Submission of marks and work for moderation	9
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	9
External moderation – feedback	10
Access arrangements	10
Special consideration	11
Malpractice	11
Enquiries about results	12
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	12
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for	
England	13
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessme	nts

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments—Foreword, page 3]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA – The basic principles, page 4]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA – The basic principles, page 4]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of Centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

Senior Managers

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Curriculum Manager/Subject Lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
 with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
 including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
 on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Task setting

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body
 moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the
 outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Takes necessary steps to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Examinations Officer

• Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner

- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Review of Marking

We are committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. We are is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

- 1. We will ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
- 2. We will inform candidates that they may request copies of materials to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
- 3. We will, having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate.

- 4. We will provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision.
- 5. Requests for reviews of marking must be made in writing.
- 6. We will allow sufficient time for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline.
- 7. We will ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review.
- 8. The candidate will be informed in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Consortium arrangements

Curriculum Manager/Subject Lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated(where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Examinations Officer

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA <u>Centre consortium arrangements for centre -</u> assessed work
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Liaises with subject leader on the submission of marks to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
 a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline. Provides marks to the
 exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Examinations Officer

Ensures all Inputs and submits marks have been completed by the subject teacher, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline.

- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Examinations Officer

Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – feedback

Subject lead

 Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Examinations Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements</u> and <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Examinations Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of Centre

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u>
 Assessments: Policies and Procedures

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-</u>examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

Examinations Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work to subject heads</u>
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head of Centre

 Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

<u>Curriculum Manager/Subject Lead</u>

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Examinations Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>, <u>Information and guidance for centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of Centre

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities

Curriculum Manager/Subject Lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016)

- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of Centre

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

 Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood

- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Examinations Officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Exams Officer
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject Teacher supported by Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Link Senior Leader
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by	Exams Officer with Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject leader

	T	T
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is	Ensures course planning and information taken	Curriculum
given to candidates	from the awarding body's specification confirms	Manager /
	the correct task will be issued to candidates	Subject Lead
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	and Link Senior
	remains unresolved	Leader
Subject teacher long	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching	
term absence during	staff extended absence at key points in the exam	
the issuing of tasks	cycle	
stage		
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned	Assessment plan identified for the start of the	Exams Officer
assessments clash	course	with Curriculum
with other centre or	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide	Manager /
candidate activities	calendar	Subject Lead
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate	Exams Officer
inadequate for	rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	with Curriculum
candidates to take	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	Manager /
tasks under	insufficient for number of candidates	Subject Lead
appropriate	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large	
supervision	exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do	
	not apply)	
Insufficient	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	Exams Officer
supervision of	the current JCQ publication Instructions for	with Curriculum
candidates to enable	conducting non-examination assessments and any	Manager /
work to be	other specific instructions detailed in the awarding	Subject Lead
authenticated	body's specification in relation to the supervision	
	of candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-	
	examination assessment policy	
A candidate is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Subject teacher
suspected of	publication Instructions for conducting non-	with Curriculum
malpractice prior to	examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice)	Manager /
submitting their	are followed	Subject Lead
work for assessment	An internal investigation and where appropriate	and Link Senior
	internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Leader
Access	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ	
arrangements were	publication A guide to the special consideration	Exams Officer
not put in place for	process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be	and Subject
an assessment	followed to apply for special consideration for the	Teachers
where a candidate is	candidate	
approved for		
arrangements		

Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject Teachers
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject Teachers
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject Teachers
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject Teachers
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject Teachers

	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own	
	research, planning, resources etc. is regularly	
	checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	Curriculum
course late after	candidate to catch up	Manager /
formally supervised		Subject Lead
task taking has		and Subject
started		Teachers
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine	Exams Officer
another centre	what can be done depending on the stage at which	
during the course	the move takes place	
An excluded pupil	The awarding body specification is checked to	Curriculum
wants to complete	determine if the specification is available to a	Manager /
his/her non-	candidate outside mainstream education	Subject Lead
examination	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication	and Link Senior
assessment(s)	and marking are made separately for the candidate	Leader
Resources		
A candidate	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are	Curriculum
augments notes and	collected in and kept secure between formally	Manager /
resources between	supervised sessions	Subject Lead
formally supervised	Where memory sticks are used by candidates,	and Subject
sessions	these are collected in and kept secure between	Teachers
	formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network,	
	access for candidates is restricted between	
	formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own	Curriculum
acknowledge	research, planning, resources etc. is checked to	Manager /
sources on work	confirm all the sources used, including books,	Subject Lead
that is submitted for	websites and audio/visual resources	and Subject
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the	Teachers
	work of the candidate should be marked where	
	candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources	
	appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from	
	candidate's records, awarding body guidance is	
	sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		<u></u>
A candidate is	Records confirm the awarding body specification	
penalised by the	has been checked to determine if word or time	Subject Teacher
awarding body for	limits are mandatory	
exceeding word or	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are	
time limits	discouraged from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information	
	provided to them on word or time limits is known	
	and understood	

Collaboration and group	un work	
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have	Records confirm the awarding body specification	Cubiost Toosha
worked in groups	has been checked to determine if group work is	Subject Teacher
where the awarding	permitted	
body specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
states this is not	remains unresolved	
permitted		
Authentication proced		Т
A teacher has	Records confirm subject staff have been made	
doubts about the	aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing	Curriculum
authenticity of the	assessment material and candidates' work	Manager /
work submitted by a	Records confirm that candidates have been issued	Subject Lead
candidate for	with the current JCQ document Information for	and Subject
internal assessment	candidates: non-examination assessments	Teachers with
	Candidates confirm/record that they understand	Exams Officer
Candidate	what they need to do to comply with the	
plagiarises other	regulations for non-examination assessments as	
material	outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for	
	assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	
	awarding body	
Candidate does not	Records confirm that candidates have been issued	
sign their	with the current JCQ document Information for	
authentication	candidates: non-examination assessments	Subject Teacher
statement/declarati	Candidates confirm/record they understand what	-
on	they need to do to comply with the regulations as	
	outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before	
	accepting the work of a candidate for formal	
	assessment	
Subject teacher not	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for	Curriculum
available to sign	subject teachers to sign authentication forms at	Manager /
authentication	the point of marking candidates work as part of the	Subject Lead
forms	centre's quality assurance procedures	and Subject
	- 1 1 3 4 - 1 1 3 4 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Teachers
Presentation of work		<u> </u>
Candidate does not	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully	
fully complete the	completed before accepting the work of a	Subject Teacher
awarding body's	candidate for formal assessment	
cover sheet that is	Tanada isi isinin assassinan	
attached to their		
worked submitted		
for formal		
assessment		
assessificit		l

Keeping materials sec		T
Candidates work	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and	Subject Teacher
between formal	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	
supervised sessions	conducting non-examination assessments	
is not securely	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of	
stored	appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure	Curriculum
storage not available	storage is available to subject teacher prior to the	Manager /
to subject teacher	start of the course	Subject Lead
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Task marking – extern	ally assessed components	
A candidate is	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	Learning
absent on the day of	alternative assessment arrangements can be made	Manager /
the examiner visit	for the candidate	Head of Year
for an acceptable	If not, eligibility for special consideration is	
reason	explored and a request submitted to the awarding	
	body where appropriate	
A candidate is	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance	Exams Officer
absent on the day of	register	
the examiner visit		
for an unacceptable		
reason		
Task marking – internally assessed components		
Task marking – interna	ally assessed components	
Task marking – internal A candidate submits	ally assessed components Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate	Subject Teacher
-		Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	Subject Teacher
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ	Subject Teacher Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration	
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the	
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ	
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration	Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the	Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Exams Officer Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate malpractice is	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	Exams Officer Exams Officer
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Exams Officer Exams Officer

	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Subject Teachers
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead, Exams Officer
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Exams Officer

	accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Curriculum Manager / Subject Lead and Exams Officer
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Exams Officer